National Coalition

for Implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in Germany

Supplementary Report of the National Coalition

On the Second Report of the Federal Republic of Germany to the United Nations in accordance with Article 44, paragraph 1, letter (b) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child

Original: German

Introduction

The present Supplementary Report to the Committee on the Rights of the Child refers to the Federal Republic of Germany's Second Periodic Report (reporting period 1994-1999) to the United Nations in accordance with Article 44, paragraph 1, letter (b) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. This report has been compiled by the National Coalition for Implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in Germany (National Coalition).

The National Coalition was formally founded in 1995 by 40 non-governmental organisations under the legal entity of the Working Party for Youth Welfare (Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Jugendhilfe - AGJ). Today, it encompasses around 100 organisations, institutions and initiatives of nation-wide significance that are active across a variety of areas for the implementation of children's rights in Germany. A list of member organisations and the members of the coordination groups are included in the appendix.

The National Coalition has developed a range of instruments to carry out an on-going assessment of the current situation in terms of advances made and problems encountered in implementing the Convention in Germany, including: an annual 'Open Forum' with leading figures in the political arena, the Children's Rights Days, taking place every 1-2 years and which are primarily concerned with legal issues, and the 'Children's Coalition Talks', emerging from current political concerns. In the period covered by this report, the National Coalition has been concentrating on the following main areas:

- Reform to the parents and child law
- Unaccompanied refugee children and children without a German passport
- Ecological children's rights
- Secure livelihoods and child poverty
- Children with disabilities

Contents

Introdu	ıction	2
	ts	
Summa	ıry	4
Involve	ment of children and young people on the Supplementary Report	5
Wi	thdrawal of the declaration of reservations	5
I. Gene	ral measures required for implementation	8
A.	Implementing the rights of the child (Articles 4 and 41)	9
B.	Concerning the declaration on the Convention	12
C.	Promulgation of the Convention (Article 42)	13
D.	Dissemination of the reports on implementing the Convention (Article	
par	agraph 6)	13
II. Defi	nition of the child (Article 1)	14
III. Gei	neral Principles	
A.	Non-discrimination (Article 2)	15
В.	Well-being of the child (Article 3)	
D.	Respecting the views of the child (Article 12)	
IV. Civ	il rights and liberties	
A.		
V. Fam	ily environment and alternative means of care	
A.	Parental guidance (Article 5)	
В.	Parental responsibility (Article 18, paragraphs $1-2$)	
C.	Separation from parents (Article 9)	
D.	Family reunification (Article 10)	
E.	Illicit transfer and non-return (Article 11)	
	ic health care and well-being	
A.	Children with disabilities	
В.	Health and health care	
D.	Standard of living	
	ucation, leisure and cultural activities	
A.	Education (Article 28)	
B.	Educational objectives (Article 29)	
C.	Leisure, recreation and cultural activities (Article 31)	
	pecial protective measures	26
	Children in emergency situations	
	1. Refugee children (Article 22)	26
4	2. Children in armed conflicts (Article 38), their physical and psychological	27
ъ	recovery and social reintegration (Article 39)	
В.	Children in contact with the youth justice system (Article 40)	
	1. Youth jurisdiction (Article 40)	
	2. Children and young people in custody (Article 37 b-d)	28
C.	Children as victims of exploitation, their physical and mental recovery	20
	and social reintegration	
	1. Economic exploitation of children including child labour (Article 32)	
	3. Sexual exploitation and sexual abuse (Article 34)	30
Annex.		3/

Summary

In Germany in the reporting period of 1994-99, progress has been made in implementing the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in specific areas. The Second Report of the Federal Government details many initiatives in individual areas and, moreover, includes the 10th Youth Report (although only in German), giving an extensive overview on the situation for young people and children in Germany.

However, in the National Coalition's view, a structural anchoring of children's rights is still lacking in the various political, legal, and administrative levels that would make implementing the Convention into a guiding principle at the Federal, *Land* and local levels and in all institutions concerned with children.

The National Coalition (NC) sees an example of this lack in the Federal Government's failure to withdraw the reservations expressed in the declaration deposited with the United Nations when ratifying the 1992 UN Convention on the Rights of the Child; these reservations have still not been withdrawn despite three requests for action by the German parliament and the strong recommendation voiced by the German parliament's Petitions Committee.

The National Coalition is concerned that the majority of recommendations and suggestions expressed in the Concluding Observations of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child on Germany's First Report (at the 259th meeting on 17 November 1995) have not yet been implemented and, in some areas, the Federal Government has not acknowledged the deficits mentioned there.

Not all children living in Germany enjoy the same rights. In particular, there are legal deficits for children seeking asylum and children who are refugees, as well as for children affected by measures entailing deprivation of liberty. Furthermore, fundamental principles such as the primacy of the child's well-being (Article 3) and respect for the views of the child (Article 12) have not been adequately incorporated into national law. In addition, the NC notes deficits in implementing Articles 19 (Protection from violence, maltreatment and neglect), 23 (Support for children with disabilities), 24 (Health and health care) and 27 (Adequate standard of living). The goal has not yet been realised of guaranteeing these children's rights constitutional protection by enshrining them into the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany.

The National Coalition notes with regret that even more than ten years after ratification, children, parents, politicians and personnel concerned with children and young people are still not sufficiently aware of children's rights in Germany.

The National Coalition considers the monitoring system of reporting to the United Nations as too weak an overall instrument to achieve the effective implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. For this reason, Germany should internationally promote establishing an individual right of complaint as an additional controlling instrument to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Involvement of children and young people on the Supplementary Report

The past few years have seen a range of activities and events initiated with the aim of making the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child better known among children and young people in Germany. For example, children and young people were asked to assess how well-known they thought various children's rights were (Children's Rights Ballots 1998/1999). They were invited to participate in numerous conferences dealing with concerns affecting them or asked to evaluate them. The questions asked of the children and young people were aimed at discovering how far they thought children's rights in Germany were being infringed and in which areas they would like to see improved co-determination.

Children and young people were not involved in compiling the Federal Government's Second Report. Since the National Coalition believes it is necessary to include in this Supplementary Report the opinions of children and young people as experts in their own interests, the following summarises the opinions and impulses of children and young people at our own projects and events. In parts, reference is made to results arising after the reporting period; this summary too does not claim to be completely representative or exhaustive.

Results have been included from the following projects:

- The 120,000 questionnaires completed nation-wide by children and young people in the course of an event run under slogan 'Children's Rights Ballot' (carried out by the *Aktionsbündnis für Kinderrechte* association: Deutscher Kinderschutzbund, Deutsches Kinderhilfswerk, terre des hommes, UNICEF);
- The results from an event run in 2000 under the auspices of the Children's Commission of the German Bundestag entitled 'Democracy grows with us' (Demokratie wächst mit uns), which invited around 100 children and young people into the Bundestag for two days to discuss their hopes and concerns with politicians.
- A report on the experience of children and young people committed to their work in joint projects and invited to an annual meeting organised by the *Deutsches Kinderhilfswerks e.V.*;
- The concerns expressed by young participants at the biennial Children's Nature Summit;
 - The experience of children and young people (accompanied by the National Coalition) who participated at the United Nations Special Session on Children in 2002 (UNGASS).

Children and young people highlighted the following topics as being of particular significance:

Withdrawal of the declaration of reservations

Many children and young people have a very well-developed sense of fairness. In their own surroundings they have found that not all children are treated equally, above all, in the case of refugee children. For this reason, children and young people have repeatedly advocated measures to ensure that refugee children have recourse to equal rights in Germany, and called for the withdrawal of the declaration to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Right to equal treatment

In Germany, a 1998-99 survey asked more than 120,000 children which children's rights they thought were most infringed; the results clearly placed the right to equal treatment at the top of the list. On the occasion of the UN special session this aspect was, once again, the key demand made by children and young people calling for, for example, children rights to be equally applicable to refugee children, with equal training opportunities and no age discrimination. Foreign children and young people living in Germany with the 'tolerated' (geduldet) legal status should be allowed to take part in school class trips. In addition, on completing their studies, they should be able to start an apprenticeship or a course of further studies.

Increased awareness of children's rights

Children and young people have called for increased awareness of children's rights in the media and the incorporation of children's rights in school curricula, since only if people know their rights, can they actually lay claim to them.

Right to co-determination

Children and young people consider their opinions are not taken note of enough in many issues concerning them in family matters, school or in their leisure time. Children do not only want to become adequately involved in these questions at some time in the future, but actually want to help determine them now. Children and young people have frequently criticised their lack of political influence. They have spoken out for democratic structures to be made a part of their experience too and, in this context, repeatedly called for a reduction in the voting age.

Integration of people with disabilities

Children and young people are calling for increased integration of people with disabilities in our everyday lives. Instead of separating children with disabilities, more schools should be built in a way suitable for people with disabilities, making the shared 'experience' of life together made possible.

Ecological children's rights / Health

In order to reduce pollutants in the air and ensure that future generations also can enjoy a healthy environment to grow up in, children and young people at the Children's Nature Summit in 2002 called for retaining the present 'Ecology Tax'. In their view, part of the tax money collected should be used for extending and promoting public transportation systems, while the remainder ought to be applied to increased investment in research and further development in solar, wind and water energies.

At national-level meetings in all co-determination projects, children and young people expressed their support for equipping hospitals in a more children-friendly way. They also called for an end to the practice of treating children in adult wards. Working parents need to be granted special benefits to allow them to take care of their ill child personally without the fear of losing their job.

the fear of losing their job.

Furthermore, the children and young people also called for a ban on smoking in all public rooms where children may also be present and measures making it illegal to place cigarette machines within a child's field of vision.

Education

Many pupils consider the following measures need to be introduced to improve educational standards within the German school system:

- 103 greater individual tuition and support to minimise social inequalities;
- 104 increased choice of subjects;
- 105 less teacher-centred learning;
- 106 smaller classes;
- better language training for foreign children;
- 108 integrative classes;
- 109 integrative teaching;
- 110 more co-determination at school; and improved teacher training.

111 112

114

115

116

117

118 119

120

121

112113

Violence-free upbringing

Children and young people expressed their view that more efforts are needed to clarify what is 'equitable' and 'inequitable' and called for making laws such as, for example, the 'child's right to an upbringing free of violence' more widely known. The nation-wide Children's Rights Ballot showed that the vast majority of the children and young people questioned still saw a need for steps to be taken in Germany to implement the right to an upbringing free of violence. In their view, there should be improved measures to provide help for victims of violence and their families; other areas they highlighted as requiring action include ensuring better international cooperation on criminal prosecution of perpetrators and regulating stricter penalties for Germans who take part in sex tourism.

I. General measures required for implementation

123 124 125

126

127

128 129

130

General remarks

The National Coalition welcomes the fact that the Federal Government's Second Report has placed the accent firmly on actually implementing the Convention in Germany. It shares the view expressed by the Federal Government that progress on embodying the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in legislation within Germany has been made in the reporting period (1994 to 1999), and notes simultaneously that continued major efforts are required in order to ensure that children's rights are indeed comprehensively realised.

131 132 133

134

135

136

The National Coalition is concerned that the majority of recommendations and suggestions expressed in the Concluding Observations of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child on Germany's First Report (at the 259th meeting on 17 November 1995), have not yet been implemented and, in some areas, the Federal Government has not acknowledged the deficits mentioned there

137 138 139

140

141

142

143

The National Coalition criticises the fact that the Second Report has been submitted more than two years late. The grounds offered for this by the Federal Government of a change of government and debate on revoking the German declaration on the Convention are, in the view of the National Coalition, insufficient. Submitting the report late leads to the Federal Government's report referring to events extending into the third report's reporting period and complicates the evaluation of achievements in the reporting period covered here.

144 145 146

147 148

149

150

151

153

154

155 156

157

158

159

160 161

162

163

164

The National Coalition criticises various points related to the drafting of the Second Report which make it harder for both the committee and the general public to gain a comprehensive picture, with significant informative value, of how far the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child has been implemented in Germany, as required by Article 44, paragraph 2 of the Convention. In particular, the NC regrets that the Report:

- Juxtaposes facts and intentions in some sections instead of summarising the Federal 152 Government's plans in a single part of the Report;
 - Does not include an English version of 10th Youth Report, attached as an Appendix, even though reference is made to it at crucial points in the Report; since it is only provided in German, it is of limited value to the Committee. In this instance, it might have made the information more easily accessible if the relevant passages of the 10th Youth Report had been cited in the body of the Report;
 - Does not indicate whether an example is (non-) representative in those numerous places throughout the Report where examples are given of the measures undertaken for implementing children's rights in individual Länder; similarly, in these cases, no attempt is made to present or evaluate the situation in the other Länder which have produced no report (or claim their case is different);
 - Does not offer any single place providing a systematic listing of all the weak spots hindering the complete implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in Germany.

165 166 167

168 169

171

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal **Government to:**

- Involve children and young people in the report;
- 170 • utilize the chance offered by drafting the report to engage in a broad-based public discussion on implementing children's rights in Germany;
- compile a systematic list in future reports of the problems and deficits that have 172 173 arisen in implementing the Convention.

A. Implementing the rights of the child (Articles 4 and 41)

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995: Paragraph 21. The Committee warmly welcomes the information provided by the State party that consideration is being given to the incorporation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child in the Constitution of Germany and, in this spirit, it encourages the State party to pursue the ongoing efforts aiming at according constitutional status to the Convention.

In contrast to some *Länder* constitutions and the European Charter of Fundamental Rights, it has not yet been possible to expressly incorporate the rights of the child in the German constitution (the Basic Law). Although Article 6 Paragraph 1 of the Basic Law foresees children receiving particular protection from the state as a part of their family, the NC regards providing the sole constitutional guarantee for the child via the family as insufficient and not child-friendly. This has led to the Basic Law conveying the impression that children are merely seen as adjuncts to the adults – a notion opposed by, among others, the Federal Constitutional Court which, in a number of instances, has ruled on the subject status of the child.

The National Coalition does not share the view of the Federal Government as expressed in the Second Report that there is no need to enshrine the rights of the child in the Basic Law. Instead, it believes specifying the rights of the child would provide sustained support in realising these rights in constitutional reality and contribute to changing adults' awareness of children.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Give constitutional status to the rights of the child in Germany.

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995: Paragraph 23: The Committee suggests that the State party give further consideration to the establishment of a permanent and effective coordination mechanism on the rights of the child at the Federal, Land and local levels. Consideration should also be given to the development of an evaluation and monitoring system, addressing all areas covered by the Convention (...) The Committee also encourages the State party to look more closely into the work of the Ombuds institution for children, particularly with respect to its potential contribution for monitoring the implementation of the rights of the child.

Paragraph 24: With respect to article 4 of the Convention, the Committee emphasizes the importance of the allocation of resources to the maximum extent for the implementation of economic, social and cultural rights of the child at Federal, Land and local levels, in the light of the principles of the Convention, in particular those of its articles 2 and 3 relating to non-discrimination and the best interests of the child.

The National Coalition notes that although Germany has a network of panels, committees, and institutions whose concerns include the rights of the child, there nonetheless remains a lack of permanent and effective controls to monitor the implementation of children's rights on the Federal, Land and local levels. While an awareness is present that politics for and with children needs to be cross-sectional and concerned with all spheres of political activity, this only plays a minor role in Germany's day-to-day political life.

The National Coalition considers that an orientation towards the rights of the child needs to be further underpinned both in the legislature's area of responsibility and that of the executive. It cannot agree with the Federal Government's view that it is sufficient merely to make more efficient use of already available capacities. The Bundestag Commission to safeguard the interests of children (Children's Commission) should be granted a right of motion for all planned legislation that affects children and young people, with comparable provisions being created at the Land and local levels. In the executive sphere, either existing committees should be entrusted with the task of monitoring the implementation of the rights of the child or new panels and committees should be established for this purpose.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Establish a system provided with sufficient means to coordinate and monitor implementing and further developing the rights of the child in the legislative and executive, in a way suited to Germany's federal structure. This system should be designed to allow the participation of children and young people too.
- Determine, in particular, whether all administrative and legislative decisions are in agreement with the fundamental principles enshrined in Article 2 'protection from discrimination', Article 3 'the best interests of the child' and Article 12 'respect for the views of the child' in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.
- Recommend those state agencies needing to be established work closely with nongovernmental organisations.

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995: Paragraph 25: While acknowledging the strong commitment of Germany to providing structural assistance to third countries, the Committee would like to encourage the State party in its efforts to achieve the 0.7 per cent target for international assistance to developing countries, as well as to give consideration to the use of debt conversion and forgiveness measures in favour of programmes to improve the situation of children.

In the 1994-1999 reporting period, the Federal Government's development cooperation has made progress, both in content and planning, towards implementing the rights of the child more thoroughly. Today, the concerns of children and young people have become far more clearly incorporated into German development policy than they were in the first reporting period.

Nonetheless, the National Coalition takes the occasion of the Federal Government's Second Report to call to mind the need, as expressed in the 1995 UN Committee's Concluding Observations, to ensure the Federal Government's efforts are given greater coherence and, above all, better funding. The positive developments mentioned are being restrained by the continuing transfer of state resources at an alarmingly low level. The Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development has only announced a turnaround and the raising of ODA to 0.33% for 2002 – in other words, outside this reporting period.

Despite the 20/20 Initiative being of strategic significance for focussing international development cooperation on human rights, social development and measures to eradicate poverty, the Ministry for Economic Cooperation considers the 20/20 target can only be applied to payments to those countries supporting the initiative. For this reason, in terms of

the proportion of basic social services, Germany is only ranked in the lower mid-range for multilateral and bilateral development aid.

In bilateral aid, the percentage figure and significance in absolute terms of basic social services has been continually falling and is at present distinctly under the 20% threshold. The financial slowdown is especially marked in the basic education sector; such a development stands in contradiction to the international obligations entered into by the Federal Government, and the awareness of the key role it plays in furthering children and young people. In addition, state expenditure on schools and health care is too intensely focused on urban centres and, consequently, does not benefit the poor, rural population.

The National Coalition is pleased to note that children and young people are now viewed as an independent target group in economic cooperation, both in planning and operational terms. Concentrating on young people (12-18 years old), however, disregards the special needs and potential of the age groups below and fails to recognise the chances to underpin the social position and rights of children provided by suitable participative, age-related provisions. Furthermore, the high value that furthering children has in preventing problems in later phases of their lives is not given sufficient weighting.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Include children as a target group in development cooperation and list the financial provisions made for children and young people separately. In addition, there remains a need to ensure that drawing up and implementing strategies for combating poverty is linked more closely than previously to the goal of implementing the rights of the child.
- Accelerate bad debt relief for 40 heavily indebted poor countries (HIPC), extending debt relief to other highly indebted countries, and, on the multilateral level, working towards introducing comprehensive debt relief mechanisms.
- Pledge themselves to a concrete schedule for raising the percentage of basic social services in German development cooperation to 20%, with, as is standard practice in the international arena, calculations based on undertakings attributable to the bilateral and multilateral sectors. The Federal Government needs to give primacy to measures promoting basic education and training and, in a fundamental step, ensure the amount of funding available for this area is raised significantly.

B. Concerning the declaration on the Convention

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995: Paragraph 22: The Committee recommends that the State party pursue the review of the declarations entered to the Convention with a view to considering their withdrawal. The Committee is of the opinion that, in the light of proposed reforms to national legislation, it would appear that such declarations are unnecessary; doubts are raised as to their compatibility with the Convention.

The National Coalition is extremely concerned to note that the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child is not unconditionally valid in Germany. Germany still has not withdrawn any of the reservations expressed in the declaration entered at the time it ratified the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

The reservations relate specifically to Section IV of the declaration, to what is known as the declaration on aliens (*Ausländervorbehalt*). Submitting this declaration has led to refugee children not being able to enjoy the same rights as other children in Germany (for further details, see VIII). Failing to withdraw this declaration impedes aligning legislation on foreigners and asylum-seekers, court decisions, and the customary practice in administrative matters and government authorities with the standards set by the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. In the period falling outside the reporting period, the Federal Government has been expressly called upon three times by parliament (1999 and 2001), and lastly in 2002 by the parliament's Petitions Committee, to withdraw its declaration. However, up until now, the Federal Government has not yet done so.

The National Coalition takes issue with the views expressed by the Federal Government in the Second Report (Paragraph 83) that the declarations "consist for the most part of explanations intended to prevent potential misinterpretations or over-interpretations". Instead, the NC regards the 'declaration on aliens' as contributing to a situation where refugee children in Germany do not have access to basic rights such as the right to 'protection from discrimination' (Article 2) and 'the best interests of the child' (Article 3), and hence views it as not compatible with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. Incidentally, the opinion expressed here by the NC has received confirmation from the arguments put forward by those *Länder* who reject withdrawing the declaration for precisely this reason: "It would result in creating difficulties in systematically enforcing the duty for minors to leave the country and lead to the growing abuse of the law on aliens and asylum seekers by people claiming to be minors but without submitting the requisite documents" (as stated by Thuringia's Ministry of the Interior on 18.09.2001 after being asked by the *Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Jugendhilfe* and the National Coalition on its stance towards withdrawing the declaration.)

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Withdraw the reservations expressed in the declaration immediately, since serious doubts exist on whether they are compatible with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

C. Promulgation of the Convention (Article 42)

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995: Paragraph 26: (...) The development of public campaigns through the use of the media and the involvement of the civil society, including non-governmental organizations and children's groups, would contribute to effectively addressing the need to increase understanding and to promote respect for the rights of the child.

Paragraph 27: The Committee recommends that the State party make full use of the opportunity offered by the United Nations Decade for Human Rights Education, to pursue further the preparation of educational materials on human and children's rights and the incorporation of human rights education, particularly about the rights of the child, into school curricula and into the training programmes of professional groups working with or for children, including teachers, judges, lawyers, social workers, health service personnel, police and immigration officials.

 In the Second Report, the Federal Government concedes (Paragraph 110) that the efforts to publicise and implement the Convention in Germany need 'to be strengthened still further, in order to instil a greater awareness of the spirit and contents of the Convention in the people - adults and children alike -, and in political practice.' The National Coalition shares this view and notes that more than a decade after the Convention was ratified, it is still too little known among the majority of children and adults, despite the various initiatives undertaken, especially by the associations concerned and the public-sector media. Children's rights have yet to be made a standard part of school curricula and teaching materials, while there remains a need to provide training for professional groups working with or for children. The Federal Republic of Germany's federal structure means that, first and foremost, the *Länder* responsible for educational policy need to be reminded of the duty they have in this area.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Make children's rights into a standard element in school curricula and teaching materials, integrating them into the overall concept underlying faculties for children and the training guidelines of professional groups working with or for children.
 Support schools and other facilities for children in realising the goal of making
 - Support schools and other facilities for children in realising the goal of making children's rights into an integral part of everyday life.
 - Use the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child as the benchmark for political agency and for their own activities and projects.

D. Dissemination of the reports on implementing the Convention (Article 44, paragraph 6)

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995 Paragraph 36: The Committee recommends that the State party's report to the Committee, the summary records of the discussion of the report and the concluding observations adopted by the Committee be widely disseminated in the country with a view to promoting wider awareness of children's rights, including at the Land and local levels, among the authorities, non-governmental organizations, relevant professional groups and the community at large, including children.

The National Coalition notes that the 1995 'Concluding Observations' and especially the summary records of the discussion of the report between the Federal Government and the Committee on the Rights of the Child have only been become known among a small group of specialists and politicians in Germany, despite their immense importance in further developing children's rights.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Disseminate the 'Concluding Observations' of the Committee on the Rights of the Child arising from the Second Report in an effective way and encourage public debate on implementing the recommendations.
- Publish and distribute a version of the 'Concluding Observations' in a form that children can understand.

II. Defii

II. Definition of the child (Article 1)

According to § 2 of the German Civil Code (BGB) all persons who have not reached the age of 18 are minors. However, German law contains provisions regulating the rights and duties of the child at different ages. Hence, in a number of areas, young people from 14 on are granted rights of participation, which are partially determined at the federal level and may therefore vary in different *Länder*.

In the National Coalition's view, however, distinguishing between German and foreign children, with the assumption derived from such a distinction that migrants from 16 on 'do, as a general principle, possess the capacity to act in asylum proceedings', is not compatible with the standards established in the Convention.

Those involved in looking after refugees know only too well how difficult it is to explain to them the complexities of the German law on aliens and asylum with its tight deadlines.

Refugee children of 16 and upwards are frequently not in a position to grasp to

Refugee children of 16 and upwards are frequently not in a position to grasp to significance of the law on asylum. In particular, the National Coalition considers the argument that children of 12 and on already have the necessary maturity to decide whether or not to participate in religious instruction lessons as inadmissible since Article 22 of the Convention deals with protective rights for a group of children who find themselves in a

445 particularly difficult situation.

In addition, this national ruling leaves Germany lagging behind European standards, which regard it as incontestable that the age of majority for unaccompanied refugee children is not reached until 18. (cf. Article 1, Section 1, EU Council Resolution, June 1997).

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Respect and apply the age of majority of 18 contained in the Convention, in particular for the group of refugee children who are in especial need of protection, and incorporate this into all the legislation affecting this group.

III. General Principles

A. Non-discrimination (Article 2)

The issue of integrating children whose parents come from another country of origin than Germany clearly indicates that Article 2 of the Convention is not adequately incorporated into German law. For example, § 6 Section 2 of Book VIII of the German Code of Social Law excludes a not inconsiderable group of foreign children from enjoying the benefits of the youth welfare services legally stipulated there, since the prerequisite for obtaining youth welfare services is that the children and juveniles in question are either legally resident in Germany or have already been granted a stay of deportation.

According to § 55 of the Immigration Law, recourse to youth welfare can constitute the statutory definition of an offence requiring expulsion. This might well be one reason why foreign children are especially statistically underrepresented in making use of assistance provided via mobile educational measures. Similarly, the legal entitlement to a place in a kindergarten has to apply to all children living in Germany, irrespective of the status of the parents and children's legal right to reside.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Ensure that in implementing the EU guidelines required to be in force by 30.06.2003, preventing discrimination against children without a German passport in line with the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child is incorporated into all the legislation affecting these children.
- Resolve the contradiction between regulative and fiscal interests, on the one hand, and the integrative goal of the legislation on children and youth welfare on the other, by striking the statutory definition of an offence requiring deportation from the Immigration Law.
- Further develop the children and youth reports as a specific form of social commentary on child and youth welfare so that the statistical data can be placed in a socio-political context, since this has a decisive influence on the provision and use made of child and youth welfare; this would include, for example, exact details of how many children without a German passport or whose parents come from another country of origin make use of the various measures provided by child and youth welfare, and the knowledge gained on why preventative measures are used less than after-care.

B. Well-being of the child (Article 3)

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995 Paragraph 19: The Committee remains concerned about the extent to which account is taken of the special needs and rights of children in asylum-seeking and refugee situations. (...)

In its Second Report, the Federal Government is of the view that the principle of the child's well-being 'as a primary consideration in all actions concerning children is observed in the [laws of the] Federal Republic of Germany' (Paragraph 205). In contrast, the National Coalition considers that neither German constitutional law nor simple

statutory law support the primacy of the child's well-being. A sample of German court judgements and administrative decisions similarly shows that the courts and administration in Germany do not always, and certainly not primarily, take the child's well-being as their principal point of reference. For this reason, the National Coalition takes the view that there is a pressing need in Germany to enshrine the principle of the primacy of a child's well-being in non-area specific legislation.

The National Coalition is especially concerned that the principle of a child's well-being having primacy continues to be systematically suspended for refugee children living in Germany due to the 'declaration on aliens' (for further details, see VIII). For this reason, the National Coalition considers it an urgent matter to anchor the principle of the primacy of a child's well-being in German legislation on aliens and asylum.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Legally stipulate the principle of the primacy of a child's well-being both in constitutional law and in simply statutory law, and especially in legislation concerned with aliens and asylum.
- Refrain, on principle, from applying either the third state ruling or the airport procedure in the case of minors.

D. Respecting the views of the child (Article 12)

The National Coalition sees much still needing to be done in order to make children's participation a totally accepted and standard practice in all the decisions affecting them.

Participation in legal and official procedures

In the context of restructuring the law of the parent and child, the family court can provide what is known as a 'procedural curator' (counsel for the child) to provide advice and assistance 'as far as this is necessary to safeguard [the child's] interests'. Such a curator is provided in cases where the interests of the child appear to clash significantly with those of the parents or guardians (§ 50 FGG, Law on matters of non-contentious jurisdiction). However, appointing a procedural curator is not mandatory and depends on the view of the particular judge in the case; furthermore, there is a lack of minimum standards in training such procedural curators. In official child and juvenile proceedings, no provision is made at all for an independent representation of interests and, lastly, there is no obligation to explain to children and juveniles their right to advice, counselling and support when the right of access is exercised.

Participation in political decision-making

Having children participate in political decisions assumes that the political decision-making bodies are prepared to accept the potential children have. Given a suitable framework, children and young people are ready to become closely involved in political decision-making and participate actively.

- The participation of children is not established as a constituent principle, neither at the Federal, *Land*, or local levels. The National Coalition shares the views expressed in the Report that the participation of children in social processes and decisions can only be established on a comprehensive basis if it is enshrined in law; this would require it being
- incorporated, for example, in local government law.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Prepare recommendations that will contribute to firmly embedding the right of the child into educational programmes for parents and families.
 - Provide for greater participation of children in day-care facilities and schools.
 - Link the provision of a procedural curator to safeguard the child's interests in processes under the law of the parent and child to set criteria and establish minimum standards for training procedural curators.
 - Anchor in law, as well, the right to independent representation of interests for children and young people in official proceedings.
 - Promote coordinating bodies ('Children's and youth's legal houses') with children and young people involved in the planning of such information centres and where they can obtain information on their rights.
 - Enshrine the political participation of children and young people in local government law and determine how children and young people could take part in elections at the Federal, *Land* and local levels.

IV. Civil rights and liberties

A. Name und nationality (Article 7)

On 1 January 2000, the reformed German law of nationality (*Staatsangehörigkeitsrecht*) came into force. According to § 4 Section 3 StAG, children born after this date to parents from another country of origin acquire German nationality at birth providing their parents have been granted assured residence status. To that purpose, one parent needs to show their legal customary domestic place of abode was within Germany for eight years prior to the date of the child's birth, with either the right to reside or an unlimited residence permit granted for three years preceding the birth. Given that one parent fulfilled these requirements, children born between 01.01.1990 and 31.12.1999 could exercise their optional right to German nationality until 31.12.2000. Under the option model, the law provides for these children to have dual nationality until they reach the age of majority; afterwards, they then have to choose which nationality they wish to keep. However, many children and young people find this constitutes an unreasonable hardship since they grow up in and with two countries and cultures and feel at home in both.

The National Coalition points to the particular problems faced in acquiring nationality for children whose parents do not have an assured residence status in Germany. In the case of many migrant families whose children are born in Germany, the parents can meet the required residency of eight years, but not with the specified status; this is especially true of families needing to receive social welfare assistance (since, as a rule, this is a hindrance to being granted an assured status), and for civil war refugees, who generally only have residence entitlement. The children born in Germany to parents in the process of applying for asylum are similarly affected.

The situation is especially dramatic in the case of children whose parents are in the process of applying for asylum, or if the child arrived alone in Germany under age, where the requisite documentation is lacking to establish their nationality. These children are granted provisional tolerance status, which has to be renewed every six months and prevents them ever submitting an application for naturalization. In many cases, since they are not

permitted to start any training courses, the uncertain future experienced by unaccompanied refugee children often leads to psychological illnesses, with many becoming completely resigned, and some seeing illegal residency in Germany as their sole chance of securing any further livelihood.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Introduce measures to enable, in future, children born in Germany to acquire German nationality solely on the grounds of the duration of their parents' residency, without the additionally criteria of residency status.
- Extend the option right limited to 31.12.2000 for children born between 01.01.1990 and 31.12.1999 in Germany to parents from another country of origin and reduce the fee charged for this from the former DM 500 to Euro 50.
- Facilitate multiple nationalities for children born in Germany to parents of another country of origin, or for children who have grown up here.
- Facilitate the acquisition of German nationality for unaccompanied minors, in particular, when they would otherwise be threatened with statelessness.

V. Family environment and alternative means of care

A. Parental guidance (Article 5)

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995:

Paragraph 17: With respect to the implementation of articles 12, 13 and 15 of the Convention insufficient attention has been accorded to ensuring the involvement of children in decisions, including within family ...

The National Coalition notes significant deficits in implementing the Convention in the private sphere. Despite the growing awareness that children here, especially, have to be respected as independent people and greater use made of an approach to raising children taking negotiation as a basic principle, children nonetheless continue to have negative experience in participation, in particular, within the family. For this reason, increased efforts are required to further develop awareness and create greater acceptance for a model of competent children in both the wider public and among parents.

It is to be welcomed and commended that the ministers responsible for youth matters at both the Federal and *Land* levels have expressed their intention of ensuring that educating families is given greater weight in youth welfare priorities. However, it is noted that resolutions from the Conference of Youth State Ministers are still pending.

B. Parental responsibility (Article 18, paragraphs 1-2)

- 1. Revision of the law on parental custody
- 2. Measures to support parents

Paragraph 414: Passing into law the entitlement to kindergarten or a day nursery place can indeed be considered as a major structural improvement to the system supporting the family. However, this in itself does not cover the need families have for relief and support;

for a considerable number of years welfare organisations, unions, politicians and, above all, the families themselves have been calling for further steps in this area, specifically in care provision for children under three and over six. It is only by implementing these measures that, in the end, greater equality of opportunities for all children can be achieved.

Paragraph 423: It is to be welcomed that the Federal Government has underlined the need to expand the accommodation sector with more flats at an affordable rent. Nonetheless, it is noted that, in this context, that there is no word of the Federal Government planning to take a legal stance against the continuing trend to build ever less residential accommodation for families with children. Accommodation does not only need to be affordable but designed in such a way that families with a number of children can find a place to live which offers a suitable quality of accommodation.

Paragraph 426: As the Report points out, we in Germany have access to a dense network of providers and facilities in the area of parent and family education. However, no mention is made of how the organisational forms and working structures in these facilities are middle-class orientated and frequently function selectively, i.e., making access more difficult both for less well-off German parents and families and especially migrant parents and families. Generally speaking, this is a situation where the children of these families bear the brunt of these disadvantages. The system of parent and family education has to be organised in a more flexible manner (a network of ambulant and institutional measures), while ensuring that selective structures and mechanisms are rigorously curbed.

C. Separation from parents (Article 9)

The 1998 reform of the law of the parent and child has led to reinforcing the right of the child in numerous instances. Nonetheless, the reform remains trailing National Coalition demands, which themselves are derived from the UN Convention.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Extend the right of the child in a further stage of reform, especially:

- expressly ensuring the child receives all pertinent information in a form appropriate to its age for the purpose of the child exercising her/his own rights;
- stipulating, within the framework of the law on custody and parental access, that access for a parent or other authorized person that is opposed to the will of the child should, in cases of doubt, be construed as being against the will of the child;
- granting the child her/his own right to petition the court to request a review of post-nuptial access and custody provision from the viewpoint of the well-being of the child;
- introducing, in cases of the parents' separating or divorcing, measures to accelerate proceedings, hence allowing living conditions to be re-structured more swiftly.

D. Family reunification (Article 10)

In the Second Report, the Federal Government notes that children under 16 can exercise a statutory right to enter Germany to join their parents living there providing the family's accommodation is sufficiently large and the parents have the financial means available to support the child. However, no mention is made of the fact that this right to enter the country is generally only applicable where the child is to join both parents; the child can only join a single parent living here if her/his parents are divorced and / or one parent has sole custody.

In the draft of the new Immigration Law, due to replace the present Aliens Act of 1990, the age at which right of entry still applies for children is to reduced to 12. This provision is to apply to migrant and refugee children born after the new legislation comes into force, or who want to enter the country alone. On the other hand, the children of highly qualified refugees, either entitled to asylum or refugees as defined under the Convention, will be

able to enter the country until they reach majority.

Furthermore, the Federal Government points out (Paragraphs 451 and 453) that the law on aliens excludes the reunification of families in the case of foreigners who have been accorded tolerance status; under the legislation on asylum proceedings, this stipulation applies equally to asylum-seekers.

This regulation particularly affects unaccompanied refugee children, who, in the vast majority of cases, only will be accorded tolerance status and so have no possibility of being reunited with the parent(s) they were separated from while fleeing since the parent(s) have no right of entry.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Structure the planned reform of the Immigration Law in such a way that decisions on family reunification are first and foremost linked to the child's well-being, and dealt with in a humane and generous way.
- Generally allow the right of entry for children until the child has reached majority.
- Utilize the experience gained in implementing the child-parent law reform in the cases of all relevant legislation for children from a migration background to ensure the provisions are in accord with the parameters established in the Convention.

E. Illicit transfer and non-return (Article 11)

The Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction follows the basic principle that, in cases of doubt, where a child has been illicitly transferred and is withheld abroad, the status quo prior to the illicit transfer is to be restored as swiftly as possible. A strict framework provides for exceptions in the interests of the child's well-being. Nonetheless, the actual length of proceedings is frequently such that children have become so accustomed to their new surroundings that any change in their situation conflicts with child's well-being.

748 <u>The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal</u> 749 Government to:

- Ensure the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction is applied in keeping with the Convention and prescribed for the administrative agencies concerned.
- Ascertain, in individual cases, whether changing the situation of the child is in accord with the primacy of the well-being of the child as expressed in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, Article 3.

VI. Basic health care and well-being

A. Children with disabilities

In the reporting period, the conditions setting the framework for families of children with disabilities have become significantly worse. There is practically no chance to ensure that children with special needs attain the greatest amount of independence, self-determination, parity of treatment and integration possible while still enjoying a certain amount of care and supervision, since the specific legislative basis for this is lacking, as is the requisite planning and structures.

Although children with disabilities can receive all-day care in kindergartens and schools, this is only the case where facilities have been specifically provided for children with special needs. The parents themselves then have to shoulder the funding for any treatment and care needed. If the services of an ambulant child nurse are needed, the parents have to pay for this from their public assistance benefits for the payment of nursing care.

Children and young people with disabilities are rarely involved in the affairs that affect them; the aim of integration continues to fall at the hurdle of insufficient funding and inadequate conceptual planning.

The National Coalition sees a pressing need to develop the provision of integrative measures in all facilities and institutions for children (kindergartens, schools, etc).

New legal framework

Children with disabilities in the family

Education, upbringing and care of children with disabilities

Paragraph 525: While it is accepted that the proportion of foreign children attending special schools is very high when compared with the total of all foreign children, no indication is given of the measures the Federal Government plans to adopt to ensure this figure is reduced. The requisite measures are urgently needed to ensure parity of treatment both for German and foreign children.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Promote the development and implementation of plans enabling children with and without special needs to grow up together, and support strategies on integrative measures for children with disabilities in all areas of life.
- Enable an integrative education beyond primary schools by changing the legislation preventing such a move.

- Make the Convention on the Rights of the Child accessible to children with disabilities, e.g., blind children, and provide for its dissemination in these various forms.
 - Ensure the conceptual planning on training courses and in institutions is more closely related to the subject situation of the child and promote development of practical aids that will also allow the suitable involvement of children with disabilities in those matters affecting them.

B. Health and health care

limits on environmental pollution.

The health situation for children and young people in Germany is a matter of serious concern. The new children's illnesses, as they are known, have become more widespread: for example, regulatory disorders in infancy (excessive screaming, sleeping and eating disorders), chronic illnesses, behavioural disorders, psychosomatic complaints, environmentally-related illnesses and instances of psychosocial disorders. Around a third of all children and young people suffer from skin or mucous membrane allergies. Legislation passed on environmental issues does not do sufficient justice to the general principles expressed in the Basic Law, for instance, the Law Concerning the Protection against Harmful Effects on the Environment through Air Pollution, Noise, Vibrations, and Similar Factors (Bundesimmissionsschutzgesetz) is not oriented towards the lower

The public health service has been severely phased down over the last few years. Publicly funded medical check-ups for babies have been discontinued, while there is a general lack of provision of preventive medical care. These steps have had numerous consequences, including the reduced use made of inoculation as part of preventive medicine. The need most parents have for pre- and post-natal counselling cannot be met.

tolerance levels in children but takes the average adult as the benchmark of reasonable

Financial cutbacks have led to a drop in the quality of the provision of both in-patient and outpatient services for children. This systematic retrograde step in German paediatrics is marked by the closure of children's hospitals, clinics for paediatric surgery and children's intensive care units, cuts in budgets for medicine, and the planned abolition of specific training as a children's nurse.

In the in-patient sector, 30% - 50% of all children are not treated with others of a similar age in children's wards equipped for the purpose. In many case, there was no paediatrician involved in the care and treatment.

For children in ill-health, the aftercare situation has dramatically worsened since plans have been made to discontinue the profession of out-patient children's nurse; the intention is to merge the existing special training as a children's nurse with training for nurses in adult and geriatric care. In view of the fact that children are not little adults, this would result in a significant deterioration in the quality of health care provided.

The training of nursing personnel for home care is not aligned with children's needs. In particular, the guidelines on improvements in nursing care at home do not cover caring for children with chronic illnesses or seriously-ill newly-born or premature babies.

Around 80% of medicaments given to children are not expressly approved for children, even though 40 % of the indispensable medicines listed by the WHO are known to be unsuitable for children.

In the question of health care too, children with a migrant background are in a worse situation than German children. Communication problems lead to foreign children being in-patients more frequently and for longer. Parents of foreign children are not credited with the necessary knowledge to bring up their children in good health. Frequently, health insurances do not authorize services for home care in foreign families, arguing that the illness to be treated is not related to any health disorder but has its origin in social causes

and therefore does not fall under the remit of the health insurance company.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Monitor the health situation of children regularly and include it as an integral element in social reporting.
- Introduce a comprehensive quality assurance system in the entire environmental medical area.
- Integrate health aspects into the training and care area and improve care provision, in particular, for children of lower-income families.
- Use the surrounding of nursery day-care centres and schools, in particular, and town districts, as the location for realising preventive care and health improvement measures since this will ensure that children from different cultural backgrounds and socially disadvantaged groups are also reached.
- Align critical values for environmental pollution with children's needs.
- Provide suitably trained staff to allow children in hospital to receive the care best suited to their needs and not have to be treated in wards designed for adults.
- Match the demand for home care of especial benefit for chronically-ill children, with personnel specifically trained in this area.
- Change research funding and medicament licensing with the aim of ensuring that children are only administered those medicines expressly licensed for children.
- Improve health care provision for foreign children and children from a background of migration by integrating international competences in the training curricula of all health care professionals, increasing the use of foreign health care professionals and using interpreters when needed.
- Ensure that refugee children and children without a German passport can enjoy the same access to health insurance company services as German children.

D. Standard of living

Having children in Germany is inseparable from an increased risk of poverty or downward social mobility. Even those families capable of combining employment and childcare are not always able to master a life above the poverty line. Compared to single children, children with several siblings face 50% more chance of experiencing poverty. But the form of the family is not the only major factor. Residency status also plays a role: the figures of migrant families affected by poverty is far higher than would be expected in any comparison between their total numbers and the entire population, and this is especially true where they do not have any assured residency status.

Around 7000 children are living on the streets in Germany in an extreme form of poverty.

Children affected by poverty have worse educational opportunities and fewer chances to benefit from leisure activities or consumerism. When parents experience growing poverty and declining social status, a child's health risk also increases. Disadvantaged children are more likely to be overweight and less physically active, and suffer from ill health and the effects of poor nutrition.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Develop poverty prevention strategies to prevent poverty, the slide into poverty and marginalisation of children and young people.
- Legally prescribe an independent basic social provision for children and establish the status of children as autonomous recipients of the services provided by the social security system.
- Improve the benefits structure for families threatened by poverty to ensure that the children have the best possible prospects for development.

VII. Education, leisure and cultural activities

A. Education (Article 28)

The Second Report contains no indication of how, from the perspective of equal opportunities, the Federal Government plans to realise or has realised the right enshrined in Article 28 to education, schooling, and vocational training. According to the OECD Report in 2001, with 4.6% of gross domestic product spent on education, Germany lags behind the OECD average of 5.3% for investment in this sector.

The structure of the Second Report clearly indicates that the Federal Government sees its main emphasis as being in the care sector; consequently, here too, the principle of care is given greater prominence than the subject situation of the child.

Parents, children and teachers all complain about the growth of violence in schools. In this context, the discussion increasingly includes issues focussing on the lack of participation and the phenomenon of structural and verbal violence.

Cost factors are, more than ever, leading to models of care being developed solely concerned with offering a 'safe' place for children to be looked after. However, educational provision for children cannot be exclusively related to formal education in the school sector.

Children in complex and difficult situations have problems in coping with the transitions between individual educational facilities. For years, both the youth welfare services and the schools have been wrestling with the problem of improved communication; however, as the competences are separated in the ministries and entwined with a struggle over remits, this battle has frequently been one of opposition rather than cooperation.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

• Institute social and educational policy measures to overcome the educational system's selective tendency towards social situation and a family's 'cultural capital'.

- Ensure, from the equal opportunities and integration perspective, that children and young people with a migrant background and those from socially disadvantaged and low-income families are not disadvantaged in school or external facilities for education and training.
 - Ensure that widely different budgetary situations at local level do not lead to major regional variations in the educational programme available to children in day-care centres.

B. Educational objectives (Article 29)

960 Immigration has turned Germany into a multi-cultural country; of the foreign children in 961 Germany under six years, around 88% were born here.

Instead of the wealth this cultural diversity offers being used to support the learning process, children with experience of migration are often labelled as a problem group. In many nursery day-care centres and schools, the integration of children from other countries of origin is still seen as a strain on the educational process.

In the National Coalition's view, there is a pressing need to ensure the design of educational provision and realisation of educational planning does not merely become concentrated on the aim and application of vocational qualifications; instead, it needs to integrate the wider issues of social attitudes and ethical and religious points of reference, for example, as they are embodied in the respect for other people and a feeling of personal and social responsibility. All educational institutions have now to acknowledge Germany's variety of cultures far more, providing greater support to mother tongue languages, and promoting bilingualism and multilingualism.

Although the Youth Welfare Act (*Kinder- und Jugendhilfegesetz*) promises to establishing an intercultural and cross-religious approach to education (§ 9), this is not yet sufficiently applied in practice.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Integrate the policy areas of youth and education more into immigration legislation and policy.
- Fix intercultural skills as a basic qualification in the training of educational staff.

C. Leisure, recreation and cultural activities (Article 31)

In Germany, a diverse area of applied cultural and artistic interests for children has developed outside of the schools (where, despite the findings on their importance in education, arts subjects are undergoing even greater cutbacks). Learning in the cultural field is now taking place, for example, at art schools, media workshops, music schools, rock music initiatives, orchestras and ensembles, literary cafés, (children's) museums, theatres, libraries, radio, film, and photography workshops, dance clubs, youth centres, mobile games libraries, socio-cultural centres, etc.

However, these extra-curricula activities are caught in a steady trend towards inequality of access, as evermore children and young people find themselves unable to participate because of their families' economic situation.

 Funding cutbacks and cost-saving measures are increasingly affecting facilities where cultural learning can take place, with the groups able to use such facilities selected via higher course, entrance or attendance fees. For some time, tight budgets have led to a change in direction in the policy towards more professionalism in the field of cultural activities and teaching, and an increased readiness to take on cheaper (and hence, as a general rule, less well qualified) staff.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Preserve and extend a diverse range of educational facilities in the cultural area, making them accessible for all children.
- Ensure sufficient and continuous funding both for this provision and a differentiated inter-cultural programme in further and advanced training.
- Step up measures to achieve the planned target of a closer interlock between youth welfare and schools.

VIII. Special protective measures

A. Children in emergency situations

1. Refugee children (Article 22)

In its Second Report, the Federal Government doubts whether there is any need to change the applicable law for refugee children in the case of the right of entry and residence, and in provisions governing asylum and asylum proceedings (paragraphs 791, 792). It paints a positive picture of how some *Länder* have established clearing offices for refugee children under 16 but then goes on to emphasis that, in principle, the same regulations on entry and asylum procedures should apply for children seeking asylum and travelling alone as apply to adult asylum-seekers (paragraph 793). Despite numerous reports and studies containing empirical evidence showing that the social situation of refugee children in Germany has deteriorated in the reporting period, in the Second Report the Federal Government nonetheless maintains that Germany 'fundamentally meets the obligations resulting from Article 22 of the Convention' (paragraph 803) and rejects calls for a basic right of entry for minors until the clearing process has been concluded.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Commission an expert study to evaluate the compatibility of the legislation on aliens and asylum with the principles enshrined in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.
- Grant unaccompanied refugee children an assured residency claim after three years, where, within this period, their secure return in clarified circumstances to their family in their country of origin has not been possible.
- Apply in practice the entitlement of all unaccompanied children and young people entering Germany to receive educational assistance.
- Establish clearing offices in all *Länder*.

2. Children in armed conflicts (Article 38), their physical and psychological recovery and social reintegration (Article 39)

The Federal Government has actively taken part in international efforts directed towards the improved protection of children in wars, for example, by pushing for the worldwide ban on anti-personnel mines agreed in the Ottawa Convention. However, the Federal Government has not yet been prepared to advocate a ban on anti-vehicle mines, which similarly harbour the risk of fatal accidents.

A conference convened in 1999 by the German Foreign Office in collaboration with the international 'Coalition to Stop the Use of Child Soldiers' contributed to uncovering the misuse of children and young people as soldiers in European armies and publicly denounced their deployment in combat. While this conference gave significant support to the Supplementary Protocol on the use of child soldiers to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, the Federal Government has still not ratified the protocol. Until now,

the German Ministry of Defence has refused to forgo enlisting 17 year-old volunteers.

In preparation for the first UN Small Arms Conference, the Federal Government stood up for a strict control on the production and export of small arms. When, in July 2002, the German Bundswehr destroyed the first 58,000 of a total of 400,000 G-3 automatic rifles from their own discarded stock, withdrawing it from the arms cycle, they gave a clear signal of their stance against fuelling any rotation of arms in the international sphere.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Ratify, without delay, the Supplementary Protocol to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child on the minimum age for recruiting children and young people and, in doing so, unconditionally accept the age limit of 18.
- Enhance support for programmes in war and crisis situations to demobilise, rehabilitate and reintegrate children and promote their protection against violence and their use in war operations.

B. Children in contact with the youth justice system (Article 40)

1. Youth jurisdiction (Article 40)

Committee on the Rights of the Child, Concluding Observations, 1995

Paragraph 20: With regard to matters relating to juvenile justice, the Committee expresses its concern about the declaration made by the State party to article 40 (2) (b) (ii) which appears to limit the child's rights to access to justice and to a fair hearing as well as the right to legal assistance and defence.

The National Coalition notes that, in some cases, minors in Germany are sentenced to youth custody without being represented by legal assistance during the legal proceedings. Since no statistics have been compiled on this, the precise number of such cases is not known.

The reservation expressed by the Federal Government on this issue is still in force. The National Coalition does not share the view put forward by the Federal Government in the Second Report (paragraph (844)) that the reservations expressed in the declaration submitted on ratification were not actually necessary, since, in terms of standard practice,

on the one hand, and the judicial decisions and legislation on the other, the standards of the Convention are met completely.

Instead, the National Coalition believes that in this point German law does not match the requirements enshrined in the Convention. For this reason, the National Coalition sees a pressing need for action on the part of the legislature to change the existing law on juvenile courts (*Jugendgerichtsgesetz*) so that a sentence of imprisonment passed on a youth without legal defence assistance is inadmissible on principle.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Withdraw the objections cited under figure III of the Declaration and to change the law on juvenile courts so that it is congruent with the principles contained in Article 40 of the Convention.

2. Children and young people in custody (Article 37 b-d)

The National Coalition notes that Germany still does not have legal provisions dealing specifically with enforcement of juvenile custody, arrest and imprisonment.

During the reporting period, the figures for youth custody and the detention of young offenders have risen by around 40 per cent. Proportionally, the share of juveniles from another country of origin in these statistics is approximately two to three times higher than their share in the relevant age groups in the general population. It is a matter of some concern that the large increase of juveniles in custody cannot be explained by recourse to a similarly large rise in the number of serious offences. Instead, it is noted that growing numbers of juveniles are being committed on remand for comparably minor offences, such as theft or fraudulent misappropriation, and sentenced to youth custody. The exceptionally high number of juveniles who are remanded without then finally being sentenced to custody is extremely disquieting and leads to the conjecture that, in many cases, remand might be being illegally misused as a covert form of short-term imprisonment.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Ensure legal provisions are drafted on implementing measures for the deprivation of liberty in cases of youth custody, remand and arrest.

The Federal Government's Second Report solely cites the figure of juveniles held on remand and in confinement on a given day. There are no figures given for the number of juveniles taken into remand or confinement in the course of a year, nor similar figures on the number of youth arrests. Similarly, since the Federal Government's report does not contain comparable figures from previous years, it is impossible to make any judgement on developments or trends. Furthermore, details on the individual length of imprisonment are still not included, nor any general background information given about the youths concerned, for example, gender, religious confession, and national and social origin.

Finally, the Federal Government's report contains no information on how far custody is conducted in such a way as to respect the dignity of the juvenile in question and the needs of their age-group, above all, in terms of the provision of qualified training and educational opportunities.

- 1150 The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal
- 1151 Government to:
- 1152 Make available the statistics on imprisonment in the administration of justice, as
- requested in the General Guidelines on the Form and Contents of Periodic Reports
- 1154 (Nr. 141), including details on the measures taken to ensure custody is conducted in a way respecting the dignity of the juvenile affected.

German law prescribes a separation between juvenile and adult prisons. However, juvenile prisons and custody are not only used to confine minors but are also used for young adults up to the age of 25 and over. In these institutions, the main group is clearly formed by those over eighteen. Generally speaking, juvenile prisons do not practice separating younger prisoners under 18 from those over 18, which, in certain instances, can lead to grave problems, especially for very young prisoners, where older prisoners may, for example, subject them to threatening behaviour, blackmail or even rape.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Make provision to separate minors from adults in juvenile prison and custody.

 In Germany, deprivation of liberty may also be a measure adopted in youth welfare and the sector of child and youth psychiatric care; the legal basis for this is given by the provisions contained in § 1631 b of the German Civil Code (BGB). The advisory legal opinion commissioned by the Federal Government recorded serious doubts as to whether this paragraph is compatible with the principles enshrined in the constitution.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

Ensure deprivation of liberty as a measure taken in youth welfare and child and youth psychiatric care is placed on a legal basis reflecting the principles of the Convention.

Official statistics on the number, age and origin of the children and young people deprived of their liberty in measures taken under youth custody or child and youth psychiatric care are not presented, nor are there any details on the length of custody. Similarly, information is not presented on how the custody measures are implemented and what effect they may have on the personality development of the juveniles concerned.

Finally, it is noted that no findings are given on the number of cases and outcomes of legal proceedings carried out under § 1631b of the German Civil Code (BGB) to permit measures entailing the deprivation of liberty or whether these proceedings were carried out according to the procedural requirements governing them.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

1195 Government to:
1196 Make available the details requested in the General Guidelines on the Form and

1197 Contents of Periodic Reports (Nr. 141-3) on children and young people affected by 1198 measures entailing the deprivation of liberty and the way these measures were

carried out, and also include the same details for youth welfare and child and youth

1200 psychiatric care.

C. Children as victims of exploitation, their physical and mental recovery and social reintegration

1. Economic exploitation of children including child labour (Article 32)

The Federal Government's commitment to the IPEC programme and the increased efforts of the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) to establish a quality stamp for products and companies is to be welcomed, as are the German Bundestag's resolutions of 28 May 1998 and 7 June 1999 supporting a strong new ILO agreement against the worst forms of child labour. Nonetheless, in drawing up the plans for different countries and in the government negotiations with countries where the worst forms of child labour are widespread, too little note was taken of the 'Guidelines for promoting a basic education' and the BMZ sectoral planning on 'Promoting a basic education in developing countries'.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Implement without delay the ILO Convention 182, ratified in 2001, on the prompt introduction of measures to overcome and prohibit the worst forms of child labour. In this context, the government should take into account many points in the ILO Recommendation 190, giving priority to integrated approaches in education and health, and measures to support children and young people.
- Maintain a near-present level of funding for the IPEC programme and simultaneously work towards an independent ILO evaluation of the International Programme to Eliminate Child Labour (IPEC programme), including civil society actors and organisations for working children in this process.
- Present a regular project list giving the basic social services, both in target performance comparison and framework planning, and regularly report on child labour worldwide, including measures to implement the Convention 182.
- Offer continuing support for trade and NGO initiatives designed to eliminate child labour and ensure the fundamental rights at work are adhered to (e.g., Rugmark).

3. Sexual exploitation and sexual abuse (Article 34)

Despite the improvements in legislation protecting children as victims of sexual abuse, this problem remains widespread in Germany since the legal provisions are not being sufficiently applied in practice. The National Coalition views with especial concern the growing use of the Internet as a place where child pornography can be exchanged anonymously, and notes that legal measures have not yet been able to combat this adequately. This is an area where, first and foremost, there is a need for international steps to be taken.

The reforms of the criminal law in 1993 and 1998 allow perpetrators who have sexually exploited children abroad to be subject to criminal proceedings in Germany providing they are German nationals or have the main centre of their life here. However, there is a large gap between the threat of criminal proceeding s and the application of the law; from 1993 until the beginning of 1999, there were only around 50 cases in Germany. There have been fourteen judgements passed by the court of the first instance that have found perpetrators from Germany guilty. The new law on protecting witnesses and victims that came into force on 1 December 1998 facilitated questioning of child and juvenile witnesses by

introducing measures including the regulating of video questioning of children abroad and provision for the financial assistance of witnesses during questioning.

With regard to applying the new legislation, the National Coalition notes there are significant deficit and gaps. In particular, Germany is lacking overall comprehensive plans of measures for prevention and for basic research into the extent, manifestations, traumas, and specific situations of risk.

 The Federal Government's 1997 working programme against the sexual exploitation of children details and promotes key projects. The fact that the programme does not include the children of migrants is to be noted as a criticism of it; the participation of young people and children, as demanded by the World Congress, is also lacking so far.

The new working programme planned should give particular significance to prevention and the rehabilitation of juvenile perpetrators. Abuse of children in organisations and by personnel in institutions needs to be given special attention.

In addition, the new working programme has to ensure child victims receive protection and adequate help. Another main area of emphasis in future needs to be in ensuring young people and children participate in the prevention measures called for at the World Congresses held in Stockholm (1996) and Yokohama (2001), which, until now, have only showing signs of being realised.

The National Coalition recommends that the Committee call for the Federal Government to:

- Ratify the Supplementary Protocol to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, which came into force in January 2002, against trafficking in children, child prostitution and child pornography.
- Use German criminal law more effectively to pursue the sexual abuse of children abroad. It is essential here to establish the unified age for protection at 18, as is required by the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. Politicians are called on to create the conditions facilitating stronger cooperation between investigating authorities, e.g., by setting up bilateral agreements and the swift ratification of the 'Palermo Protocol' (a Supplementary Protocol to the UN Convention against organised crime).
- Ensure a basic and further training for lawyers on the issues of commercial sexual exploitation and sexual abuse in particular for judges in criminal and family courts is given set subject status and made more relevant for their careers.
- Secure the support provided for advice and counselling centres and for preventive provision, expanding this to include target groups not previous taken into account.

Annex

List of members of the steering committee of the National Coalition

Mike Corsa, AGJ-Vorstand (Sprecher)

André Dupuis, Gewerkschaft Erziehung und Wissenschaft

Dr. Reinald Eichholz

Gerd Engels, BAG Kinder- und Jugendschutz

Ulrike Gebelein, Diakonisches Werk der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland

Matthias Hugoth, Deutscher Caritasverband

Marc Köster, Deutsches Kinderhilfswerk

Prof. Dr. Hubertus Lauer, Deutscher Kinderschutzbund

Andrea Lummert, SJD - Die Falken

Dr. Jörg Maywald, Deutsche Liga für das Kind (Sprecher)

Jens Oppermann, Bremer Jugendring

Andreas Rister, terre des hommes

Christian Schneider, UNICEF Deutschland

Christa Wollstädter, Berufsverband Kinderkrankenpflege

Dr. Erika Voigt, Berufsverband Deutscher Psychologinnen und Psychologen

Volker Wolf, BAG der Landesjugendämter

List of members of the National Coalition

Allergie-Verein in Europa

Amnesty International

Arbeiterwohlfahrt Bundesverband

Arbeitskreis Grundschule Der Grundschulverband

Arbeitskreis Hauptschule

Arbeitsgemeinschaft Allergiekrankes Kind

Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Evangelischen Jugend

Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Erziehungshilfe, Bundesvereinigung

Arbeitsgemeinschaft Natur- und Umwelterziehung

BAG Evangelischer Familien-Bildungsstätten

BAG Kinder von Tschernobyl

Berufsverband der Kinderkrankenschwestern und Kinderkrankenpfleger

Berufsverband Deutscher Psychologinnen und Psychologen

Bund der Deutschen Katholischen Jugend

Bund der Jugendfarmen und Aktivspielplätze

Bund Deutscher PadfinderInnen

Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft der Kinderschutzzentren

Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft Kinder- und Jugendschutz

Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft der Landesjugendämter

Bundesfachverband unbegleitete minderjährige Flüchtlinge

Bundesjugendwerk der Arbeiterwohlfahrt

Bundeskonferenz für Erziehungsberatung

Bundesverband für Kinderbetreuung in Tagespflege

Bundesverband Neue Erziehung

Bundesverband Theaterpädagogik

Bundesvereinigung kulturelle Jugendbildung

Bundesverband der Pflege- und Adoptiveltern

Deutsche Akademie für Kinderheilkunde und Jugendmedizin

Deutsche Beamtenbundjugend

Deutsche Gesellschaft für das hochbegabte Kind

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Kinderchirurgie

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Sozialpädiatrie

Deutsche Jugend in Europa

Deutsche Kinderhilfe Direkt e.V.

Deutsche Liga für das Kind

Deutsche Sportjugend

Deutsche Wanderjugend

Deutscher Berufsverband der Sozialarbeiterinnen, -arbeiter und -pädagogen

Deutscher Caritasverband

Deutscher Juristinnenbund

Deutscher Kinderschutzbund

Deutsches Institut für Vormundschaftswesen

Deutsches Jugendrotkreuz

Deutsches Kinderhilfswerk

Diakonisches Werk der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland

DLRG-Jugend

Eltern für aktive Vaterschaft

Evangelische Aktionsgemeinschaft für Familienfragen

Förderverein deutscher Kinderfilm

Gesamtverband für Kindergottesdienst in der EKD

Gewerkschaft Erziehung und Wissenschaft

Verband binationaler Familien und Partnerschaften

Interdisziplinäre Gesellschaft für Umweltmedizin

Internationale Gesellschaft für erzieherische Hilfen

Internationale Vereinigung der Waldorfkindergärten

Internationaler Sozialdienst Deutscher Zweig

Interessenverband Unterhalt und Familienrecht

Jugend des Deutschen Alpenvereins

Katholische Erziehergemeinschaft Deutschlands

Katholische Junge Gemeinde

Kinder haben Rechte

Kindernothilfe

Kind und Umwelt

Konferenz der Kinderbeauftragten NRW

Landesjugendring Baden Württemberg

Landesjugendring Rheinland-Pfalz

Landesjugendring Thüringen

Lernen Fördern - Bundesverband zur Förderung Lernbehinderter

Ministerium für Arbeit, Soziales und Gesundheit, Sachsen-Anhalt

Ministerium für Kultur, Jugend, Familie und Frauen, Rheinland-Pfalz

Naturfreundejugend Deutschlands

Naturschutziugend

Paritätischer Wohlfahrtsverband Gesamtverband

Päpstliches Missionswerk der Kinder in Deutschland

Pestalozzi-Fröbel-Verband

PRO ASYL Bundesweite AG für Flüchtlinge

ProKids "Kinderinteressen in der Stadt"

Ruhruniversität Bochum Institut für Friedenssicherungsrecht und Humanitäres

Völkerrecht

SOS Kinderdorf

Sozialdienst katholischer Frauen

Sozialistische Jugend Deutschlands - Die Falken

Technischer Jugendfreizeit- und Bildungsverein

terre des hommes BR Deutschland

UNHCR

UNICEF Deutschland

Väter für Kinder

Verband alleinstehender Mütter und Väter - Bundesverband

Verband Anwalt des Kindes - Bundesverband

Verband Deutscher Sonderschulen Fachverband für Behindertenpädagogik

Verein für Familien- und Kinderrechte

World Vision e.V.

Imprint

Publisher:

National Coalition c/o Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Jugendhilfe (AGJ) Mühlendamm 3 10178 Berlin

Tel: 030/ 400 40 200 Fax: 030/ 400 40 232

Email: national-coalition@agj.de

Copyright:

Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Jugendhilfe

Supervisory Editors:

Claudia Kittel und Kirsten Schweder

English Translation:

Andrew Boreham

Overall Responsibility:

Peter Klausch

Passed in draft form at the National Coalition Members Meeting in June 2002.

This report was supported by funding from the Federal Ministry for Family Affairs, Senior Citizens, Women and Youth